UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,158	07/07/2003	Jeffrey P. Gilbard	2022(200696)	8240
21874 7590 12/29/2009 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			FAY, ZOHREH A	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/615,158	GILBARD, JEFFREY P.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication com	ZOHREH A. FAY	1612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 16-42 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-12 and 16-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/2009.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/615,158

Art Unit: 1612

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 15-42 are presented for examination.

The amendments and remarks filed on October 14, 2009 have been received and entered.

There has been an inadvertent mistake in not citing Schneider in the heading of the obviousness rejection. However, such reference was discussed in the content of rejection.

Claims 1-6, 8-12 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno (US 6,566,398) and Yano et al. and further over Troyer et al. (6,506,412) and Schneider et al. (US 6,353,022) for the reasons set forth on pages 2-6 of the office action of April 14, 2009.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant in his remarks argues each reference individually and concludes that such reference does not teach the claimed combination. Applicant is reminded that the rejection is an obviousness rejection and not anticipation. The combination of the relied upon references clearly teach that omega-3 fatty acids and omega -6 fatty acids individually (Ueno et al.) or in combination (Troyer et al.) have been previously used for the treatment of dry eye syndrome. The above references also make clear that an anti-oxidant has been added to the combination of omega-3 fatty acid and omega-6 fatty acids. Schneider et al. teach vitamin E as an antioxidant added to fatty acids for the treatment of dry eye. Yano teaches that the addition of vitamin E to DHA can exert beneficial effect on TNF related diseases, which are associated with inflammation. Applicant's arguments regarding the higher amounts of

claimed fatty acids have been noted, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant's attention is directed to Troyer et al. (column 3, lines 55-56) reference which teaches 500 mg daily dose of omega-3 fatty acids. In claim 14, Troyer teaches the combination of fatty acids at 235 mg, which is within the scope of the claimed invention. Furthermore, the teachings of at least 94 mg for the combination of fatty acids reads on the concentrations of the claimed invention in the absence of setting forth any upper limits. Such language reads on any concentrations higher than 94 mg.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,158 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZF
/Zohreh A Fay/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612